Rosetta Stone, LLC

FOR:

ATTACHMENT I - REFERENCE QUESTIONNAIRE ST. LUCIE PUBLIC SCHOOLS RFP 25-09 ELL SUPPLEMENTAL ELA SOFTWARE

(Name of Vendor Requesting Reference)
This form is being submitted to your Company for completion as a business reference for the company listed above.
This form is to be returned to the School Board of St. Lucie County, Purchasing Department, email at kimberly.albritton@stlucieschools.org no later than 3:00 p.m., November 22, 2024 , and <u>must not</u> be returned to the company requesting the reference.
For questions or concerns regarding this form, please contact the School Board of St. Lucie County, Purchasing Department, by telephone: (772) 429-3980, or by email at kimberly.albritton@stlucieschools.org. When contacting us, please be sure to include the request for proposal number and title listed at the top of this page.
Company Providing Reference <u>DeSoto County School District</u> Contact Name and Title/Position <u>Francesca Anderson Director</u> Contact Telephone Number 863-494-4222 Contact Email Address <u>Francesca</u> anderson @ desoto schools. Com
Questions: 1. In what capacity have you worked with this company in the past? If the Company was under a similar contract, please acknowledge and explain briefly whether or not the contract was successful.
Comments: This is our first year partnering with Rosetta Stone. For our ELL students.
2. How would you rate this Company's knowledge and expertise? (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)
Comments: 3
*
3. How would you rate the Company's flexibility relative to changes in the scope and timelines? (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)
Comments: 3

	Page 35 of 42	
Request for Proposal ELL Supplemental ELA Software School District of St. Lucie County RFP # 25-09		
reports, logs, etc. produced by the Company?(3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactor	y; 0= Unacceptable)	
Comments:		
5. How would you rate the dynamics/interaction between the Company and your staff? 3 (3= Excellent; 2= Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Unacceptable)		
Comments:		
6. Who were the Company's principle representatives is would you rate them individually? Would you comment factors on which you based the rating? (3= Excellent; 2= Unacceptable) Name: Courtney Brock Name: Barbara Wallen Name:	con the skills, knowledge, behaviors or other = Satisfactory; 1= Unsatisfactory; 0= Rating:	
Name:		
Comments:		
7. With which aspect(s) of this Company's services are you most satisfied?		
Comments: The customer service is fantastic! We are piloting the program this year and they are very willing to work with us. 8. With which aspect(s) of this Company's services are you least satisfied?		
or men aspect(s) or this company s services are you reast satisfied:		

4. What is your level of satisfaction with hard-copy materials, e.g. quotation, written scopes of work,

8. With which aspect(s) of this Company's services are you least satisfied? Comments:

None as of yet.

9. Would you recommend this Company's services to your organization again? Comments:

Yes! Having a successful pilot so for I would definetly recommend!

Page 36 of 42